

Rebuttal to the Argument for Measure M

Upland City Council unanimously supported making your favorite big-box store and grocery store villains by the outrageous claim they are not paying their fair share of taxes! Businesses large and small provide all of us products, services and jobs while providing sales tax revenue to the City!

The proposed \$3.3 million business tax increase actually places a disproportionate burden on small, mid-size and professional services businesses. This tax is HIGHER than adjacent cities which could motivate some businesses to close or relocate during these financially challenging times! The Upland Chamber of Commerce opposes this tax increase.

Don't be deceived by politicians, the overwhelming majority of 911 calls are for medical assistance. The County Fire Department and AMR ambulance service handle these emergency calls, not the City. The \$3.3 million taxes collected would go into the General Fund and could be used for streets, but more likely to pay for employee salaries, benefits and pensions. Some employee contracts have expired and were extended until June 2027 and they want pay raises!

In 2017 to save money, the City seamlessly outsourced the Upland Fire Department to County Fire. A citizen taskforce also recommended outsourcing the police department to the County Sheriff as does Rancho Cucamonga and Chino Hills, two of the safest cities in SOCAL.

There is a potential cost saving of \$18 million dollars annually by adopting the same contract as Chino Hills. NO new taxes needed!

The Upland Residents for Good Government urges you to **VOTE NO on-M!**

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

RCVD UPLAND CITY CLERK
FEB 20 12 26 PM 4:47

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

Check the appropriate box below:

- ☐ Argument In Favor of (Proponents)
☒ Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)
☐ Argument Against (Opponents)
☐ Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)

ballot measure M at the CITY OF UPLAND election for the
Measure Letter Title of Election

SAN BERNARDINO to be held on 11/3/26 hereby state that this
Jurisdiction Date of Election

argument is true and correct to the best of THEIR knowledge and belief.
~~his/her/their~~

Proponents/Authors:

1. GLENN BOZAR / 8/20/26
Print Name Signature Date
FORMER UPLAND CITY COUNCIL MEMBER
Title Email Address Phone
2. WIS SICKING / 8/20/26
Print Name Signature Date
37 year Upland Resident
Title Email Address Phone
3. _____
Print Name Signature Date

Title Email Address Phone
4. _____
Print Name Signature Date

Title Email Address Phone
5. _____
Print Name Signature Date

Title Email Address Phone